

REGULARITY OF LERAY-HOPF SOLUTIONS TO NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS (I)-CRITICAL REGULARITY IN WEAK SPACES

JIAN ZHAI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU 310027, PRC

ABSTRACT. We consider the regularity of Leray-Hopf solutions to incompressible Navier-Stokes equations on critical case $u \in L_w^2(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))$. By a new embedding inequality in Lorentz space we prove that if $\|u\|_{L_w^2(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))}$ is small then as a Leray-Hopf solution u is regular. Particularly, an open problem proposed in [8] is solved.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the regularity of weak solutions to incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T) \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T) \end{cases}$$

where u and p denote the unknown velocity and pressure of incompressible fluid respectively. $u : (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is called a weak solution of (1.1) if it is a Leray-Hopf solution. Precisely, it satisfies

$$(1) \quad u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)),$$

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T),$$

$$(3) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{-u \cdot \partial_t \phi + \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi + (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \phi\} dx dt = 0$$

for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T))$ with $\operatorname{div} \phi = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$.

In this paper, we prove the following critical regularity of the Leray-Hopf solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in weak spaces, which was an open problem proposed in [8].

Theorem 1.1. *There is a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that if u is a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$ and if*

$$\|u\|_{L_w^2(0, T; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \epsilon$$

then u is regular in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$.

This work is supported by NSFC No.10571157. email: jzhai@zju.edu.cn

Here $L_w^p(0, T; L^q(\Omega))$ ($1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$) denote the spaces of functions $v : (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with

$$\|v\|_{L_w^p(0, T; L^q(\Omega))} := \sup_{\sigma} \sigma |\{t \in (0, T) : \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} > \sigma\}|^{1/p} < \infty.$$

It is known that the weak spaces L_w^p are special cases of the more general Lorentz spaces $L^{p,r}$ and $L_w^p = L^{p,\infty}$ (see [1]).

As a corollary of Theorem 1.1, we have that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that if u is a weak solution of (1.1) and

$$|u(x, t)| \leq \frac{C}{(T-t)^{1/2}}, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (T-R, T)$$

then u is bounded in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (T-R, T]$.

Combining our Theorem 1.1 with the former results of Sohr [14], Kim and Kozono [8], we have

Corollary 1.2. *For all $r \in [3, \infty]$, there is a constant $\epsilon > 0$ depending only on r , such that if u is a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1) and if*

$$\|u\|_{L_w^s(0, T; L_w^r(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{2}{s} + \frac{3}{r} = 1,$$

then u is regular in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T]$.

Since Leray(1934)[10] and Hopf(1951)[6] proved the global existence of weak solutions, it has been a fundamental open problem to prove the uniqueness and regularity of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. For $3 < r < \infty$, Corollary 1.2 in $L_w^s(0, T; L_w^r(\mathbb{R}^3))$ were proved by Sohr [14]. Corollary 1.2 in $r = 3$ was proved by Kim and Kozono [8]. On the other hand, similar results in Lebesgue spaces on $\Omega \times (0, T)$ have been proved by Serrin [13], Struwe [15] and Takahashi [16], and similar results in Lebesgue spaces on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$ have been proved by Giga [5], E.B. Fabes, B.F. Jones, N.M. Riviere [4], Kozono, Taniuchi [9] and Iskauriaza, Serëgin, Shverak [7] (see also W. von Wahl [17]).

Notice that the global case of the open problem proposed by Kim and Kozono in [KK pp.87 line 12-14] is solved by using Theorem 1.1. The local case of the open problem was claimed in [T]. But as pointed by Kim and Kozono in [KK pp.99 line 9-11], the critical local case can not be treated by the method given in [T] and developed in [KK].

To prove Theorem 1.1, a key step is to prove a priori estimate for vorticity equation (see Proposition 3.1), where we estimate the nonlinear terms by $\|v\|_Q$ and the norm of u in Lorentz space. To this aim, we first prove a new embedding inequality in Lorentz space in section 2.

Navier-Stokes equations in weak spaces

2. EMBEDDING INEQUALITY IN LORENTZ SPACE

Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(B_{4/3}(0))$ and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_{8/3}(0) \setminus B_{3/4}(0))$ be the Littlewood-Paley dyadic decomposition that satisfy (see [C]):

$$(2.1) \quad \chi(\xi) + \sum_{q \geq 0} \varphi(2^{-q}\xi) = 1, \quad \frac{1}{3} \leq \chi^2(\xi) + \sum_{q \geq 0} \varphi^2(2^{-q}\xi) \leq 1, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Denote

$$\Delta_{-1}v = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\chi(\xi)\mathcal{F}[v](\xi)], \quad \Delta_qv = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi(2^{-q}\xi)\mathcal{F}[v](\xi)], \quad \forall q \geq 0$$

and define

$$(2.2) \quad V(Q[0, T]) := \{v \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) : \|v\|_{Q[0, T]} < \infty\}$$

$$\|v\|_{Q[0, T]}^2 = \sum_{q \geq -1} \sup_{0 \leq t < T} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta_q v(x, t)|^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx dt.$$

We shall use the notation $\|v\|_Q$ and $V(Q)$ to denote $\|v\|_{Q[0, 1]}$ and $V(Q[0, 1])$ respectively.

Lemma 2.1. *There is a constant $C > 0$, such that for all $f \in L^{2, \infty}(0, 1)$ and $v \in V(Q)$,*

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{q \geq -1} \sum_{q-2 \leq j \leq q+4} \int_0^1 |f(t)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta_j v(x, t)| |\nabla \Delta_q v(x, t)| dx dt \leq C \|f\|_{L^{2, \infty}} \|v\|_Q^2.$$

Proof. Step 1. Note that the weak space $L_w^2(0, 1)$ is equivalent to the Lorentz space $L^{2, \infty}(0, 1)$, and the norm on $L^{2, \infty}(0, 1)$ can be defined equivalently by

$$\|f\|_{L^{2, \infty}(0, 1)} = \sup\{|E|^{-1/2} \int_E |f(t)| dt; \quad E \in \mathcal{L}\}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the collection of all Lebesgue measurable sets with a positive measure (see [12]). Instead of the Lebesgue measurable sets, the original version in [12](18.5) used the collection of all Borel sets with a positive measure. Since for all Lebesgue measurable sets E and $1 < p < \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |f(t)| dt &= \int_{E \cap \{|f| \geq \sigma\}} |f(t)| dt + \int_{E \setminus \{|f| \geq \sigma\}} |f(t)| dt \\ &\leq \int_\sigma^\infty \lambda^p |\{t \in E : |f(t)| > \lambda\}| \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^p} + \sigma |E| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L_w^p(E)} |E|^{1/p'} \end{aligned}$$

by taking $\sigma = \|f\|_{L_w^p(E)} |E|^{\frac{1}{(1-p)p'}}$, nothing is lost when we use Lebesgue measurable sets to replace Borel measurable sets.

It is known that $L^{2, \infty}(0, 1)$ is the dual space of $L^{2, 1}(0, 1)$, where for $g \in L^{2, 1}(0, 1)$ the norm is defined by the infimum of $\sum_{j \geq 0} |c_j|$, the sums of the coefficients of

Jian Zhai

the atom decomposition

$$g(t) = \sum_{j \geq 0} c_j a_j(t)$$

over all possible expansions of g .

Step 2. Note that for $q \geq -1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\nabla \Delta_q v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} |\nabla_{x_j} \Delta_q v|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
 (2.4) \qquad &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} |i \xi_j \mathcal{F}[\Delta_q v](\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{8}{3}\right) 2^q \|\Delta_q v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)},
 \end{aligned}$$

and for $q \geq 0$

$$(2.5) \qquad \|\nabla \Delta_q v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \geq \left(\frac{3}{4}\right) 2^q \|\Delta_q v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Denote

$$M(v) = \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} (\|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)})$$

and for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, define

$$E_k = \{t \in (0, 1) : 2^{-k} < (M(v))^{-1} (\|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}) \leq 2^{-(k-1)}\}.$$

Since $\|v\|_Q$ is bounded, $M(v)$ is bounded and E_k are Lebesgue measurable.

Note that for $t \in E_k$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}) &\leq 2^{-(k-1)} M(v) \\
 (2.6) \qquad &< \frac{2}{|E_k|} \int_{E_k} (\|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}) dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Denote

$$h(t) = \frac{(\|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)})}{4}$$

Navier-Stokes equations in weak spaces

and notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 |f(t)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta_j v(x, t)| |\nabla \Delta_q v(x, t)| dx dt \\
& \leq \int_0^1 |f(t)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta_j v(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \Delta_q v(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} dt \\
& \leq \left(\frac{8}{3}\right) 2^q \int_0^1 |f(t)| h(t) dt \quad (\text{by (2.4)}) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{8}{3}\right) 2^{q+1} \sum_{k \geq 1} |E_k|^{-1} \int_{E_k} |f(t)| dt \int_{E_k} h(t) dt \quad (\text{by (2.6)}) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{8}{3}\right) 2^{q+1} \|f\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{|E_k|^{1/2}} \int_{E_k} h(t) dt \quad (\text{by step 1}) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{8}{3}\right) 2^{q+1} \|f\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \sum_{k \geq 1} (\sup_{E_k} h)^{1/2} \left(\int_{E_k} h(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{8}{3}\right) 2^{q+1} \|f\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \sup_{E_k} h \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \int_{E_k} h(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \\
(2.7) \quad & \leq \left(\frac{8}{3}\right) 2^{q+1} \|f\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \sqrt{2} M(v)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 h(t) dt \right)^{1/2}. \quad (\text{by (2.6)})
\end{aligned}$$

For $j, q \geq 0$, by (2.5) we have

the right of (2.7)

$$\leq C \|f\|_{L^{2,\infty}} M(v)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \Delta_j v(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \Delta_q v(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} dt \right)^{1/2},$$

and for $j = -1, q \geq 0$

the right of (2.7)

$$\leq C \|f\|_{L^{2,\infty}} M(v)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta_{-1} v(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/4} \left(\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \Delta_q v(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/4}.$$

So, by (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{q \geq -1} \sum_{q-2 \leq j \leq q+4} \int_0^1 |f(t)| dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta_j v(x, t)| |\nabla \Delta_q v(x, t)| dx \\
 & \leq C \|f\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \sum_{q \geq 0} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t < 1} \|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right) \left(\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \Delta_q v(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \\
 (2.8) \quad & + C \|f\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t < 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta_{-1} v(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta_{-1} v(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq C \|f\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \left\{ \left(\sum_{q \geq -1} \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta_q v(x, t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v(x, t)|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{q \geq -1} \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta_q v(x, t)|^2 dx \right\} \\
 & \leq C \|f\|_{L^{2,\infty}} \|v\|_Q^2. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Without loss generality, we assume $T = 1$. We consider the Cauchy problem for the vorticity equation which follows the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1)

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v - \Delta v + \operatorname{div}(Bv) = 0, & \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, 1) \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

where $Bv = v \otimes u - u \otimes v$, and $v = \operatorname{curl} u$. The following a priori estimate for (3.1) will be proved in section 4.

Proposition 3.1. *There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if*

$$(3.2) \quad \|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \epsilon$$

then for all $t_1 \in (0, 1]$, for all solutions v of (3.1) in $V(Q[0, t_1])$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \|v\|_{Q[0, t_1]}^2 \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2$$

where the constant C is independent of v and t_1 .

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Note that the weak space $L_w^2(0, 1)$ is equivalent to the Lorentz space $L^{2,\infty}(0, 1)$. Proposition 3.1 implies a priori estimate for the solutions of (3.1) provided that

$$\|u\|_{L_w^2(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \epsilon.$$

If u is a Leray-Hopf solution to (1.1), then $u \in L^2(0, 1; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$. So for any $\delta_0 > 0$ there is $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ such that $\|\nabla u(\delta)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty$. Take

$$v_0(x) = \operatorname{curl} u(x, \delta)$$

and consider the Cauchy problem

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v - \Delta v + \operatorname{div}(Bv) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (\delta, 1) \\ v(x, \delta) = v_0(x), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

Navier-Stokes equations in weak spaces

The solution v of (3.4) is regular at least in a short time interval (δ, t_1) with $t_1 \leq 1$. So for any small $\delta_1 > 0$, $v \in V(Q[\delta, t_1 - \delta_1])$. We can use the a priori estimate in Proposition 3.1 to get

$$\|v\|_{Q[\delta, t_1]}^2 \leq \limsup_{\delta_1 \rightarrow 0+} \|v\|_{Q[\delta, t_1 - \delta_1]}^2 \leq C \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2$$

because the constant C is independent of δ_1 . Then for all $t \in [\delta, t_1)$, $\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ is uniformly bounded. So v is regular at $t = t_1$. Similarly by the initial data $v(x, t_1)$ and so on we can prove that the solution v of (3.4) is regular in $(\delta, 1]$ provided that

$$\|u\|_{L_w^2(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \epsilon.$$

Let $\delta_0 \rightarrow 0+$. So $v(x, t)$ and $u(x, t)$ are regular for $t \in (0, 1]$ provided that

$$\|u\|_{L_w^2(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \epsilon.$$

Thus we proved Theorem 1.1. □

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1

Without loss generality, we assume $t_1 = 1$. We introduce Bony's paraproduct from the Littlewood-Paley analysis. We denote

$$S_j u = \sum_{-1 \leq k \leq j-1} \Delta_k u, \quad \Delta_j(u) = S_{j+1}(u) - S_j(u).$$

For the product uv of u and v , we shall decompose it as the sum

$$uv = T_u v + T_v u + R(u, v)$$

of paraproducts

$$T_u v := \sum_{j \geq 1} S_{j-1} u \Delta_j v, \quad T_v u := \sum_{j \geq 1} \Delta_j u S_{j-1} v,$$

and remainder

$$R(u, v) := \sum_{j \geq -1} \sum_{j-1 \leq k \leq j+1} \Delta_k u \Delta_j v,$$

where

$$S_j v = \sum_{-1 \leq k \leq j-1} \Delta_k v, \quad S_0 v = \Delta_{-1} v, \quad S_{-1} v = 0.$$

Note that for $q \geq -1$,

$$\Delta_q(T_v u) = \Delta_q\left(\sum_{q-2 \leq j \leq q+4} \Delta_j u S_{j-1} v\right)$$

and

$$\Delta_q(R(u, v)) = \Delta_q\left(\sum_{j \geq q-3} \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} \Delta_k u \Delta_j v\right),$$

because (see [12] Lemma 16), for example,

$$\text{spt}(\Delta_j u S_{j-1} v) \subset \left\{ \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{2}{7}\right) 2^j \leq |\xi| \leq \left(\frac{8}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\right) 2^j \right\}, \quad \forall j \geq 2,$$

Jian Zhai

and

$$\text{spt}(\Delta_q) \subset \{(\frac{3}{4})2^q \leq |\xi| \leq (\frac{8}{3})2^q\}, \quad \forall q \geq 0,$$

the necessary condition of $\text{spt}(\Delta_j u S_{j-1} v) \cap \text{spt}(\Delta_q) \neq \emptyset$ is $q - 2 \leq j \leq q + 4$.

Step 1. Applying the operator Δ_q to (3.1) we get

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \Delta_q v - \Delta \Delta_q v - \text{div} \Delta_q (u \otimes v - v \otimes u) = 0, & \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, 1) \\ \Delta_q v(x, 0) = \Delta_q v_0(x), & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

Taking inner products with $\Delta_q v$ in the two sides of the equations (4.1), we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\partial_t - \Delta) |\Delta_q v(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla \Delta_q v(x, t)|^2 \\ &= -[\text{div}(\Delta_q R(B, v))] \cdot \Delta_q v(x, t) - [\text{div}(\Delta_q T_v B)] \cdot \Delta_q v(x, t) \\ & \quad - [\text{div}(\Delta_q T_B v)] \cdot \Delta_q v(x, t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, 1), \\ & |\Delta_q v(x, 0)|^2 = |\Delta_q v_0(x)|^2, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Here the notations $R(B, v)$, $T_v B$, $T_B v$ may be understood as $R(u, v)$, $T_v u$, $T_u v$.

Integrating (4.2) over $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, 1]$ we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta_q v(x, t)|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\Delta_q v_0(x)|^2 dx + \int_0^1 dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \Delta_q v(x, t)|^2 dx \\ & \leq 2 \int_0^1 dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{\Delta_q R(B, v) + \Delta_q T_v B + \Delta_q T_B v\} \cdot \nabla \Delta_q v(x, t) dx \\ & \quad =: J_1 + J_2 + J_3 \end{aligned}$$

where J_1 , J_2 , J_3 denote the integrations corresponding to $\Delta_q R(B, v)$, $\Delta_q T_v B$, $\Delta_q T_B v$.

Step 2. We have

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_q |J_1| \leq C \|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|v\|_Q^2.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= \|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ M &= \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} h(t) \end{aligned}$$

and define

$$E_k = \{t \in (0, 1) : 2^{-k} < M^{-1} h(t) \leq 2^{-k-1}\}$$

where M is bounded and E_k are Lebesgue measurable because $\|v\|_Q$ is bounded.

Navier-Stokes equations in weak spaces

As in (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad & \int_0^1 \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} h(t) dt \\
 & \leq C \|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \sum_{k \geq -1} \frac{1}{|E_k|^{1/2}} \int_{E_k} h(t) dt \\
 & \leq C \|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \sum_{k \geq -1} \left(\sup_{E_k} h(t) \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{E_k} h(t) \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq C \|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} M^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 h(t) dt \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
 |J_1| & \leq 2 \left| \int_0^1 dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta_q \left(\sum_{j \geq q-3} \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1} \Delta_k u \Delta_j v \right) \cdot \nabla \Delta_q v(x, t) dx \right| \\
 & \leq C 2^q \sum_{j \geq q-3} \int_0^1 \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \\
 & \leq C 2^q \|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} \|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left(\int_0^1 \|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\}^{1/2} \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{j \geq q-3} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left(\int_0^1 \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\}^{1/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

by using (4.5) and Hölder inequality, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{q \geq -1} |J_1| \\
 & \leq C \|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \left(\sum_{q \geq -1} 2^q \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} \|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left(\int_0^1 \|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \quad \times \left(\sum_{q \geq -1} 2^{q-3} \left(\sum_{j \geq q-3} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left(\int_0^1 \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\}^{1/2} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \leq C \|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \left(\sum_{q \geq -1} \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} \|\Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left(\int_0^1 \|\nabla \Delta_q v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \quad \times \left(\sum_{q \geq -1} \left(\sum_{j \geq q-3} 2^{\frac{q-3-j}{2}} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left(\int_0^1 \|\nabla \Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\}^{1/2} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 & \quad + C \|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \sup_{0 \leq t < 1} \|\Delta_{-1} v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\
 & \leq C \|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|v\|_Q^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

Jian Zhai

where the Hardy-Young inequality

$$\left(\sum_{q \geq -1} \left(\sum_{j \geq q-2} 2^{\frac{q-2-j}{2}} a_j\right)^2\right)^{1/2} \leq C \left(\sum_{q \geq -1} a_q^2\right)^{1/2}, \quad (a_j \geq 0),$$

is used in the last step.

Step 3. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_q |J_2| &= \sum_q \left| \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta_q \left(\sum_{q-2 \leq j \leq q+4} \Delta_j u S_{j-1} v \right) \cdot \nabla \Delta_q v dx dt \right| \\ &\leq C \sum_{-1 \leq q \leq 1} \sum_{q-2 \leq j \leq q+4} \int_0^1 \|\Delta_j u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|S_{j-1} v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta_q \nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \\ &\quad + C \sum_{q \geq 2} \sum_{q-2 \leq j \leq q+4} \int_0^1 \|\Delta_j u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|S_{j-1} v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta_q \nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{q \geq -1} \sum_{q-2 \leq j \leq q+4} \int_0^1 \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|S_{j-1} u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta_q \nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \end{aligned}$$

because (see [2])

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{j-1} v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C \|S_{j-1} \nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C 2^j \|S_{j-1} u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \\ \|\Delta_j u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq C 2^{-j} \|\Delta_j \nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C 2^{-j} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

So by using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_q |J_2| \leq C \|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|v\|_Q^2.$$

Step 4. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_q |J_3| &= 2 \sum_q \left| \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Delta_q \left(\sum_{q-2 \leq j \leq q+4} \Delta_j v S_{j-1} u \right) \cdot \nabla \Delta_q v dx dt \right| \\ (4.7) \quad &\leq C \sum_q \sum_{q-2 \leq j \leq q+4} \int_0^1 \|S_{j-1} u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\Delta_q \nabla v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \\ &\leq C \|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|v\|_Q^2 \end{aligned}$$

by using Lemma 2.1 again.

So from (4.3), (4.4), (4.6) and (4.7) we have

$$\|v\|_Q^2 \leq C \|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|v\|_Q^2 + \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2.$$

Take $\epsilon = 1/(2C)$. If $\|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(0,1;L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))} < \epsilon$, we have $\|v\|_Q^2 \leq 2\|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces-an introduction*, Springer-Verlag(1976).
- [2] J-Y. Chemin, *Perfect incompressible fluids*, Oxford Science Publications (1998).
- [3] Z.M. Chen, W.G. Price, *Blow-up rate estimates for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Proc.R.Soc.Lond.A,457,2625-2642(2001).
- [4] E.B. Fabes, B.F. Jones, N.M. Rivere, *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in L^p* , Arch.Rat.Mech.Anal. 45, 222-240(1972).
- [5] Y. Giga, *Solutions for semilinear parabolic equations in L^p and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system*, J.Diff.Eqs., 62, 186-212(1986).
- [6] E. Hopf, *Über die anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen grundgleichungen*, Math. Nachr., 4, 213-231(1951).
- [7] L. Iskauriaza, G.A. Serëgin, V. Shverak, *$L_{3,\infty}$ -solutions of Navier-Stokes equations and backward uniqueness*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk., 58, 3-44(2003).
- [8] H. Kim, H. Kozono, *Interior regularity criteria in weak spaces for the Navier-Stokes equations*, manuscripta math. 115, 85-100(2004).
- [9] H. Kozono, Y. Taniuchi, *Bilinear estimates in BMO and the Navier-Stokes equations*, Math.Z.,235, 173-194(2000).
- [10] J. Leray, *Essai sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace*, Acta Math., 63, 193-248(1934).
- [11] O.A. Ladyzenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type*, Vol.23, Trans.Math.Mono. AMS(1968).
- [12] Y. Meyer, *Wavelets, paraproducts, and Navier-Stokes equations*, International Press, Cambridge, Massachussets(1996).
- [13] J. Serrin, *On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 9, 187-195(1962).
- [14] H. Sohr, *A regularity class for the Navier-Stokes equations in Lorentz spaces*, J. Evol. Eq., 1, 441-467(2001).
- [15] M. Struwe, *On partial regularity results for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Pure Appl.Math.41, 437-458(1988).
- [16] S. Takahashi, *On interior regularity criteria for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Manu-scripta Math., 69, 237-254(1990).
- [17] W.von Wahl, *The equations of Navier-Stokes and abstract parabolic equations*, Braunschweig(1985).
- [18] J. Zhai, *Regularity of Leray-Hopf solutions to Navier-Stokes equations (II)-Blow up rate with small $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ data*, arXiv:math.AP/0611959.